

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	, F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,677		07/18/2003	Stephen Allen Johnson	3791-13-CON	CON 3654	
22442	7590	05/28/2009		EXAMINER		
SHERIDA 1560 BRO		PC				
SUITE 120				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER,	CO 8020	2				
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/200	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Votifica	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/622,677	JOHNSON ET AL.						
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit						
		KENNETH B. RINEHART	3743						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
The Ap	The Appeal Brief filed on <u>13 April 2009</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.								
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within <b>ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS</b> from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. <b>EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.</b>									
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.								
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).								
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).								
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).								
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))								
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).								
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evider other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and r</b> o statement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	appeal, along with a						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).	ons rendered by a court or the Bonces section of the brief as an ap	pard in the proceeding pendix thereto (37 CFR	1					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):							
	5. Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal: n office action and not add references or claims toget Argument section should have separate headings n Rejection.	her that the examiner did not cite in I	rejecting the claims. The	<u>on</u>					
		•							
	n B Rinehart/ ory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3743								